Introduction

The treatment of mental disorders using electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) was developed in Italy by Cerletti and Bini and first applied to a human patient in 1938. \(^1\) Since then, ECT has been acknowledged as one of the most effective treatments for various types of mental disorders, e.g., mood disorders and catatonia.\(^2-5\)

Upon its inception, ECT was performed without anesthesia, causing adverse events such as patient fear, anxiety, muscle pain, and fractures.\(^6,7\) Many improvements have been made to reduce the occurrence of these adverse events, including the use of intravenous anesthesia and muscle relaxants, the adaptation of direct current pulse waves, and bilateral or unilateral electrode placement. The effectiveness and safety of ECT have thus been greatly improved. Outpatient ECT is now available in many clinics, in addition to the provision of traditional inpatient ECT.

There is still room for improvement in the ECT electrode placement techniques. High skin/electrode resistance (static impedance), due to poor contact of the ECT electrode with the scalp and involvement of hair, can cause not only scalp burns but also cerebral unfocal stimulation.\(^8-12\) To assure good contact between the ECT electrode and the scalp, pretreatment with conventional conductive skin preparations (PRE TAC) is recommended. To date, no quantitative study regarding the utility of preparation agents has been performed.
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quantitative study regarding the utility and efficacy of such agents has been performed. Therefore, we compared the effectiveness and safety of defibrillator gel (Gelaid Z-101BA, Nihon Kohden) with those of PRE TAC for the prevention of skin injuries during ECT.

**Materials and Methods**

**Participants**

Ten female inpatients (age 63.9 ± 10.9 years) with depression eligible to receive ECT were included in this study. No one dropped out of the study after enrollment. Participants were excluded from the study if they wished to withdraw or their legal representatives wished to withdraw them from the study before or during the study, or if they had a new or previous history of PRE TAC or Gelaid allergies.

**Study design**

This comparative study, conducted from November 2017 through February 2019, evaluated agents used to reduce skin resistance to disposable ECT electrode pads (Thymapads; Somatic, LLC, Lake Bluff, IL, USA) at Kitasato University East Hospital in Kanagawa. The ECT device used was the Thymatron System IV (Somatic).

**Selection of agents**

We performed a literature search to identify alternatives to conventional conductive agents and found several candidates.\(^1,16\) We compared three Nihon Kohden conductive gels for conductivity and skin-irritability. Gelaid was used as a defibrillator gel, Gel Sonic was used as an ultrasound gel, and cardioCream was used as an electrocardiogram gel. Table 1 shows the conductivity data for each agent. Noteworthy, data on PRE TAC were not provided by the manufacturer. As an alternative candidate, Gelaid was selected due to its conductivity and safety. Gelaid is designed to be used safely in defibrillation with higher energy output than that in pulse wave therapy devices. Therefore, we decided to conduct this study using PRE TAC and Gelaid.

**Procedures**

Bilateral ECT was performed in all the cases. In the first successive 6 sessions of overall ECT, the ECT electrodes were attached using PRE TAC in odd sessions and Gelaid in even sessions (data were obtained at least 3 times for each session). If an ECT electrode peeled off or the skin/electrode static impedance was high (at least 2,000Ω when it had been attached using each method, the same agent was used until a lower value was acquired. With the exception of the step in which the ECT electrodes were mounted, the procedures performed were identical in both groups. Each ECT electrode was held in place with a foam handle applicator during static impedance measurements and energization.

1) Attaching the ECT electrode using PRE TAC

- Using cotton moistened with saline, clean the skin at the site where the ECT electrode is to be attached, slightly wider than the ECT electrode, and then dry the skin.
- Apply 1 – 2 drops of PRE TAC to the skin and dab with the fingertips to dry.
- After confirming that the pretreated skin is completely dry, press the ECT electrode flat against the skin.

2) Attaching the ECT electrode using Gelaid

- Apply a small amount of Gelaid to the skin where the ECT electrode will be attached, slightly wider than the ECT electrode pad.
- Press the ECT electrode flat against the area where the skin was pretreated.

**Endpoints**

The primary endpoint was the static impedance. The secondary endpoint was the dynamic impedance. And the tertiary endpoint was the number of times required to attach the ECT electrode and reduce the static impedance to less than 2,000Ω. Furthermore, the presence of side effects (e.g., skin changes after treatment observed on a postprocedural examination) was rated by a psychiatrist who did not attend the ECT treatment sessions and was independent of the therapists.

**Statistical analyses**

We collected a total of 6 data points per patient, i.e., 3 times for each drug. After adjusting the obtained data by age and the number of treatments, we compared the static impedance, the dynamic impedance, and the number of times required to attach the ECT electrode using the generalized estimating equation (GEE) between the PRE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand name</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Resistivity (Ω • cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gelaid</td>
<td>Defibrillator gel</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel Sonic</td>
<td>Ultrasound gel</td>
<td>2,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cardioCream</td>
<td>Electrocardiogram gel</td>
<td>940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reduction of electrode impedances during ECT

TAC and Gelaid groups. P-values of $<0.05$ were considered statistically significant. SPSS ver 23.0 (IBM SPSS, 2015) was used for all statistical analyses.

Ethics statement

This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards detailed in the Declaration of Helsinki. This study was approved by Kitasato University Medical Ethics Organization (C17-103) and registered with the University Hospital’s medical information network clinical trial registry (UMIN000029134). Written informed consent was obtained from the participants or their legal representatives.

Results

Participants’ characteristics

All the participants were women (age 63.9 [± 10.9] years). Because we performed at least 6 ECT procedures on each and all of the participants, data for 30 PRE TAC or Gelaid readings were gathered. Table 2 shows the mean and difference for agents of the static impedance, dynamic impedance, and the number of times required to attach the ECT electrode. In the static impedance, the mean value of PRE TAC was 1566.35 [95% CI: 1451.07 – 1681.64] and the mean value of Gelaid was 1283.31 [95% CI: 1168.03 – 1398.60], with a difference of 283.04 [95% CI: 116.39 – 449.69]. In the dynamic impedance, the mean value of PRE TAC was 243.17 [95% CI: 233.01 – 253.32], and the mean value of Gelaid was 230.83 [95% CI: 220.68 – 240.99], with a difference of 12.33 [95% CI: -2.35 – 27.02]. For the number of times required for successful attachment (times), the mean for PRE TAC was 1.80 [95% CI: 1.55 – 2.05], and the mean for Gelaid was 1.10 [95% CI: 0.85 – 1.35], with a difference of 0.7 [95% CI: 0.34 – 1.05]. There were no posttreatment side

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>PRE TAC and Gelaid skin resistance and the number of times required for attachment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Difference (95% CI)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Static impedance (Ω)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRE TAC</td>
<td>1,566.35 (1,451.07 – 1,681.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelaid</td>
<td>1,283.31 (1,168.03 – 1,398.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynamic impedance (Ω)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRE TAC</td>
<td>243.17 (233.01 – 253.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelaid</td>
<td>230.83 (220.68 – 240.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Times required for attachment (times)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRE TAC</td>
<td>1.80 (1.55 – 2.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelaid</td>
<td>1.10 (0.85 – 1.35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

95% CI, 95% Wald confidence interval

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>GEE (generalized estimating equation) with PRE TAC and Gelaid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Explanatory</strong></td>
<td><strong>Objective and confounding</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Static impedance</strong></td>
<td>Agents used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynamic impedance</strong></td>
<td>Agents used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Times required for attachment</strong></td>
<td>Agents used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of treatments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B; unstandardized partial regression coefficient, SE; standard error

Significant P values (P < 0.05) in boldface
effects, or adverse events, including changes in the skin
and hair at the sites where ECT electrodes were applied,
observed for either conductive agent.

Factors associated with resistivity
The results of the GEE are shown in Table 3. The static
impedance was related to the agents used (unstandardized
partial regression coefficient \(\beta = 283.04, 95\%\ CI;
116.39–449.69, P = 8.7 \times 10^{-4}\)). Likewise, the dynamic
impedance tended to be associated with the agents used
(\(\beta = 12.33, 95\%\ CI; -2.35–27.02, P = 0.0997\)). The
number of times required for attachment was also related
to the agents used (\(\beta = 0.70, 95\%\ CI; 0.34–1.05, P = 1.4
\times 10^{-4}\)), the age (\(\beta = 0.02, 95\%\ CI; 4.5 \times 10^{-4}–0.03, P
= 0.044\)), and number of treatments (\(\beta = -0.14, 95\%\ CI;
-0.24–0.03, P = 0.010\)).

Discussion
Maintaining proper attachment between the ECT
electrode and the skin is essential for effective and safe
ECT procedures. Static impedance is measured as 350
\(-2,000\) \(\Omega\) under the proper setting.\(^{17}\) It should be adjusted
to less than 1,500 \(\Omega\) to further improve the quality of
stimulation.\(^{1}\) If the impedance measured immediately
before applying the pulse wave is inadequate, the stimulus
pads must be reattached under general anesthesia. For
secure attachment and effective treatment, the following 3
factors should be considered.

Increased adhesion of ECT electrode pads
Skin preparation agents should be easily and efficiently
applicable. Several agents are recommended in the
guidelines, by manufacturers, and providers.\(^{1,6,15}\) Among
those, Thymatron System IV manufactures specify using
PRE TAC.\(^{13}\) PRE TAC contains two ingredients: aluminum chlorohydrate to remove oil components from
the skin to increase the adhesion of the ECT electrode
pad, and conductive salts to increase the conductivity by
remaining on the skin after the alcohol solvent has dried.\(^{16}\)
Gelaid, electrically conductive wet gel used for
defibrillation, contains large amounts of water and
conductive salts that remain between the ECT electrode
pad and patient's skin during ECT procedures. Both
PRE TAC and Gelaid are used to assure good and uniform
skin conductivity. Applying the former, however,
requires abrasive skin procedures to partially remove the
stratum corneum and dried alcohol solvent at the site of
the application. Conversely, the use of Gelaid simply
requires a uniform application to the ECT electrode pad
mounting site to confirm good contact without any
additional procedures. The convenience of Gelaid was
proven in this study. The number of ECT electrode pad
installations for appropriate impedance was less in the
Gelaid group than that in the PRE TAC group.

Improved contact between the skin and ECT electrode pads
Uniform and sufficient contact between the ECT electrode
pad and the skin has to be confirmed. High impedance
between the pads due to inappropriate contact can cause
skin burns during ECT.\(^{59}\) Scalp hair at the pad-placement
site often hinders proper contact by inserting itself
between the pad and the skin and can cause unexpected
high impedance just before electric discharge, despite
the fact that it might initially gain moderate impedance
before general anesthesia. Occasionally, shaving of the
hair or non-standard placement of the pads has to be
considered, as it may lead to the patient's refusal of ECT
or inefficient stimulation. When using PRE TAC, its
conductive salts will stay on the hair and provide some
conductivity. Gelaid also enfolds hairs and makes them
part of a conductive gel layer. The solvent from the
former agent must be dried up by the end of the skin
preparation. As a result, several high impedance patches
with a mixture of air and hair may remain between the
pad and the skin. Conversely, Gelaid comprises an evenly
conductive layer between the pad and the skin by
replacing the air and enfolding nonconductive hair with
conductive gel. Skin burns did not occur in our study.
Gelaid showed significant reductions in both skin
preparation times and impedance, thus suggesting that
the use of conductive gel can improve pad attachment,
smooth ECT performance, and also lead to a reduction in
the occurrence of adverse events such as skin burns.
Additionally, with Gelaid, the appearance problems
associated with shaving will be avoided, and a patient's
consent to undergo ECT will be more likely, especially
at the outpatient clinic from where patients return home
after ECT procedures.

Increasing the safety and versatility of ECT
The versatility of electrode pad placement should be
maintained. In most cases, standard bilateral placement
of electrodes is selected according to the
recommendations outlined in several different
guidelines.\(^{2,6}\) Standard bilateral placement of ECT
electrode pads is generally most effective for treatment
and rapid and thorough recovery in most cases. However,
bilateral placement can cause more severe cognitive
disorientation than other applicable methodologies (e.g.,
unilateral placement). Additionally, sometimes
supportive care for such cognitive deficits may be required
even after discharge, especially in elderly patients. Therefore, the electrode placement other than bilateral placement (e.g., right unilateral, bifrontal, or left anterior right temporal placements) is determined according to the severity of illness and the physical and cognitive states of the patient. In many cases, however, the area determined for placement is partially or completely covered with scalp hair, and acquiring proper skin-electrode contact is difficult using PRE TAC. These results show that using conductive gel avoids such problems and provides ECT practitioners with a high degree of freedom for electrode placement.

Limitations
When comparing the two conductive agents in the present study, the defibrillator gel was considered to be suitable for ECT from all perspectives. There were a few limitations. Because Gelaid was the only defibrillator gel used in the study, it is unclear which of the two agents used for similar applications would be superior. Because there was a small study population, there were scant treatment conditions identified. Finally, all the patients were women with depression which likely had an impact on the generalizability of these findings. Therefore, it is warranted to investigate: 1) whether these results can be reproduced under similar conditions, 2) whether the results can be reproduced when there is a unilateral electrode placement where a higher impedance is expected, and 3) whether these methods can be used for other mental illnesses or applied by different therapists. This study suggests that defibrillator gels can be safely used in ECT and insure adequate skin preparations for ECT.
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